

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,203 11/30/2001		Goldino Sousa Alves	01-603 6290	
75	590 08/15/2003			
Barry L. Kelmachter BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			EXAMINER	
			KING, BRADLEY T	
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
		•	3683	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/010,203	ALVES, GOLDINO SOUSA			
Office Action (Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INO DATE of the		Bradley T King	3683 [,]			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 21	<u>May 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL	2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 10-14</u>	is/are pending in the ap	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is ob	jected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11	9 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies	of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies	of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	ition No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
			(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of	the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	eceived.			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemen	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Information	nry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 2 requires an isolator fixed between a cab and a guide rail. The specification describes the guide rails extending the length of the elevator shaft and the slide guides riding on them. The specification is ambiguous as it further discloses and illustrates the guide rails being attached to the elevator cab. It is unclear which elements are attached to the cab and which elements are attached to the elevator shaft.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3683

Claim 14 recites "a first layered vibration isolator" and "a second layered vibration isolator". It is not clear if these isolators are in addition to the previously recited at least one isolator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mason.

Mason discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including: an elevator component, a second component, at least one vibration isolator being positioned between the elevator component and the second component, and each vibration isolator having a plurality of layers with at least one layer 13 or 17 being a hard layer and at least one layer 19 being a soft layer, the elevator component being an elevator cab, the second component being a guide rail.

Claims 2, and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 8-245118.

JP 8-245118 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including: an elevator component, a second component 26, at least one vibration isolator 22 being positioned between the elevator component and the second component, and each

Art Unit: 3683

vibration isolator having a plurality of layers with at least one layer 21 or 23 being a hard layer and at least one layer 22 being a soft layer, the elevator component being an elevator cab, the second component being a guide rail.

Regarding claim 11, the layers 22 are made of rubber.

Regarding claim 12, JP 8-245118 discloses a flange 27.

Regarding claim 13, JP 8-245118 discloses a bracket 20.

Regarding claim 14, JP 8-245118 discloses two isolators.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the 112 1st rejection, the embodiment of figure 2 is still not clear. The disclosure appears to contradict itself in describing the guide rails. One section appears to describe the rails extending the length of the elevator shaft, and a slide guide rides along the rail. Another section describes guide rails fixed to the elevator and in which a slide guide moves. The illustration (figure 2) is not clear either.

Regarding Mason, it is maintained the rejection is proper. The isolator of Mason has a plurality of layers (13, 17, 19) and is connected to the guide rail 16 and the elevator cab.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,203

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 52-31437 and DE 3413594. Both show elevator guide systems.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T King whose telephone number is (703) 308-8346. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,203

Art Unit: 3683

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

BTK August 11, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600